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## In a nutshell (TL;DR)

- Adoption of a given structure essential for many RNA function(s)
- \#Secondary structure grows exponentially with RNA size $n\left(\approx 2.6^{n}\right)$
- but many structures are too unstable for any sequence
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## In a nutshell (TL;DR)

- Adoption of a given structure essential for many RNA function(s)
- \#Secondary structure grows exponentially with RNA size $n\left(\approx 2.6^{n}\right)$
- but many structures are too unstable for any sequence


How many RNA structures ( $\rightarrow$ functions) can be evolved?

Working hypothesis: Nature solves (at least) a design problem Main results:

- (Algorithmic) discovery of undesignable local motifs
- Proportion of designable structures exponentially decreasing on size


## Some undesignable motifs


(Aguirre-Hernández et al, 2007)

- A sequence $w$ is a negative design for a structure $S^{*}$ if and only if $\rightarrow$ Unique minimum free energy structure, $\operatorname{MFE}(w)=\left\{S^{*}\right\}$
$\rightarrow$ No other competitive structures, defect $\mathcal{D}\left(w, S^{*}\right) \leq \varepsilon$
- A sequence $w$ is a negative design for a structure $S^{*}$ if and only if $\rightarrow$ Unique minimum free energy structure, $\operatorname{MFE}(w)=\left\{S^{*}\right\}$
$\rightarrow$ No other competitive structures, defect $\mathcal{D}\left(w, S^{*}\right) \leq \varepsilon$
- Classical defects:
$\rightarrow$ Suboptimal Defect $\mathcal{D}_{S}$, free-energy dist. to first suboptimal
$\rightarrow$ Probability Defect $\mathcal{D}_{P}$, Boltzmann prob. of alternative structures
$\rightarrow$ Ensemble Defect $\mathcal{D}_{E}$, expected BP dist. to a random structure
Existence of a negative design NP-hard (Bonnet et al, RECOMB 2018)
$\rightarrow$ Counting at least as hard $\rightarrow$ Upper bounds


Leaf • : unpaired base
Internal node $\square$ : base pair
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$\Rightarrow$ No structures containing the motif can be designed
But random RNA structures asymptotically contain every motif
Monkeys and (tree-generating) typewriters paradox...
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$$
\begin{aligned}
S(z) & =z^{9}+z^{9}+z^{23}+z^{32}+\cdots \\
& =2 z^{9}+z^{23}+z^{32}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$

- $S(z)$ : Generating function of structures avoiding undesignable motifs $\mathcal{F}$ $s_{n}=\left[z^{n}\right] S(z):$ \#Structures of size $n$ avoiding $\mathcal{F}$


## Analytic combinatorics

$$
S(z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} s_{n} z^{n}
$$

- $S(z)$ : Generating function of structures avoiding undesignable motifs $\mathcal{F}$ $s_{n}=\left[z^{n}\right] S(z):$ \#Structures of size $n$ avoiding $\mathcal{F}$

$$
\mathcal{F}=\begin{array}{ccc} 
& \bullet & \bullet \\
() & (\bullet) & (\bullet \bullet)
\end{array}
$$

## Analytic combinatorics

$$
S(z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} s_{n} z^{n}
$$

- $S(z)$ : Generating function of structures avoiding undesignable motifs $\mathcal{F}$ $s_{n}=\left[z^{n}\right] S(z):$ \#Structures of size $n$ avoiding $\mathcal{F}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{F}=\begin{array}{l}
\bullet \\
(\bullet) \\
S=\left(T_{0}\right) S|\bullet S| \varepsilon
\end{array} \\
\hline(\bullet)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Analytic combinatorics

$$
S(z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} s_{n} z^{n}
$$

- $S(z)$ : Generating function of structures avoiding undesignable motifs $\mathcal{F}$ $s_{n}=\left[z^{n}\right] S(z):$ \#Structures of size $n$ avoiding $\mathcal{F}$



## Analytic combinatorics

$$
S(z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} s_{n} z^{n}
$$

- $S(z)$ : Generating function of structures avoiding undesignable motifs $\mathcal{F}$ $s_{n}=\left[z^{n}\right] S(z)$ : \#Structures of size $n$ avoiding $\mathcal{F}$



## Analytic combinatorics

$$
S(z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} s_{n} z^{n}
$$

- $S(z)$ : Generating function of structures avoiding undesignable motifs $\mathcal{F}$ $s_{n}=\left[z^{n}\right] S(z):$ \#Structures of size $n$ avoiding $\mathcal{F}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{F}= \\
& \text { () (•) ( ••) } \\
& S(z)=z^{2} T_{0}(z) S(z)+z S(z)+1 \\
& T_{0}(z)=z^{2} T_{0}(z) S(z)+z T_{1}(z) \\
& T_{1}(z)=z^{2} T_{0}(z) S(z)+z T_{2}(z) \\
& T_{2}(z)=z^{2} T_{0}(z) S(z)+z S(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Analytic combinatorics

$$
S(z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} s_{n} z^{n}
$$

- $S(z)$ : Generating function of structures avoiding undesignable motifs $\mathcal{F}$ $s_{n}=\left[z^{n}\right] S(z):$ \#Structures of size $n$ avoiding $\mathcal{F}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{F}=\begin{array}{ccc}
\bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\
() & \bullet) & (\bullet \bullet)
\end{array} \\
& S=\left(T_{0}\right) S|\bullet S| \varepsilon \\
& T_{0}=\left(T_{0}\right) S \mid \bullet T_{1} \\
& T_{1}=\left(T_{0}\right) S \mid \bullet T_{2} \\
& T_{2}=\left(T_{0}\right) S \mid \bullet S \\
& \begin{aligned}
S(z) & =z^{2} T_{0}(z) S(z)+z S(z)+1 \\
T_{0}(z) & =z^{2} T_{0}(z) S(z)+z T_{1}(z) \\
T_{1}(z) & =z^{2} T_{0}(z) S(z)+z T_{2}(z) \\
T_{2}(z) & =z^{2} T_{0}(z) S(z)+z S(z)
\end{aligned} \\
& z^{2} S(z)^{2}-\left(z^{4}+z^{3}+z^{2}-z+1\right) S(z)+1=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Analytic combinatorics

$$
S(z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} s_{n} z^{n}=\frac{z^{4}+z^{3}+z^{2}-z+1-\sqrt{\left(z^{4}+z^{3}+z^{2}-z+1\right)^{2}-4 z^{2}}}{2 z^{2}}
$$

- $S(z)$ : Generating function of structures avoiding undesignable motifs $\mathcal{F}$ $s_{n}=\left[z^{n}\right] S(z):$ \#Structures of size $n$ avoiding $\mathcal{F}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{F}=\quad \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \\
& \text { () ( - ) ( ••) } \\
& S=\left(T_{0}\right) S|\bullet S| \varepsilon \\
& T_{0}=\left(T_{0}\right) S \mid \bullet T_{1} \\
& T_{1}=\left(T_{0}\right) S \mid \bullet T_{2} \\
& T_{2}=\left(T_{0}\right) S \mid \bullet S \\
& S(z)=z^{2} T_{0}(z) S(z)+z S(z)+1 \\
& T_{0}(z)=z^{2} T_{0}(z) S(z)+z T_{1}(z) \\
& T_{1}(z)=z^{2} T_{0}(z) S(z)+z T_{2}(z) \\
& T_{2}(z)=z^{2} T_{0}(z) S(z)+z S(z) \\
& z^{2} S(z)^{2}-\left(z^{4}+z^{3}+z^{2}-z+1\right) S(z)+1=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Analytic combinatorics

$$
S(z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} s_{n} z^{n}=\frac{z^{4}+z^{3}+z^{2}-z+1-\sqrt{\left(z^{4}+z^{3}+z^{2}-z+1\right)^{2}-4 z^{2}}}{2 z^{2}}
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- $S(z)$ : Generating function of structures avoiding undesignable motifs $\mathcal{F}$ $s_{n}=\left[z^{n}\right] S(z)$ : \#Structures of size $n$ avoiding $\mathcal{F}$
- Dominant singularity $\rho$ of $S(z)$ drives asymptotics

$$
\left[z^{n}\right] S(z) \in \Theta\left(\frac{\rho^{-n}}{n \sqrt{n}}\right)
$$

Example: For motifs below, $s_{n} \equiv 2.289^{n}$ (vs $2.618^{n}$ for all 2D structs)

$$
\mathcal{F}=\begin{array}{ccc} 
& \bullet & \bullet \succ \\
() & (\bullet) & (\bullet \bullet)
\end{array}
$$
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## Undesignable motifs

A sequence $w$ is a negative design for a structure $S^{*}$ if and only if $\rightarrow$ Unique minimum free energy structure, $\operatorname{MFE}(w)=\left\{S^{*}\right\}$
$\rightarrow$ No other competitive structures, $\mathcal{D}\left(w, S^{*}\right) \leq \varepsilon$

- $\mathcal{D}_{S} \leq 1,104$ local motifs
- $\mathcal{D}_{P} \leq 0.5,117$ local motifs
- $\mathcal{D}_{P} \leq 0.1,152$ local motifs
- $\mathcal{D}_{P} \leq 0.01,174$ local motifs




## Asymptotic results

|  |  | Asymptotic | Proportion (vs 2.289 $)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Defect | $\varepsilon$ | equivalent | $P_{50}(\%)$ | $P_{100}(\%)$ | $P_{1000}(\%)$ |
| $\mathcal{D}_{S}$ | 1 | $\Theta\left(\frac{2.226^{n}}{n \sqrt{n}}\right)$ | 25.4 | 6.48 | $1.30 \cdot 10^{-10}$ |
| $\mathcal{D}_{P}$ | .5 | $\Theta\left(\frac{2.224^{n}}{n \sqrt{n}}\right)$ | 24.2 | 5.84 | $4.64 \cdot 10^{-11}$ |
| $\mathcal{D}_{P}$ | .1 | $\Theta\left(\frac{2.176^{n}}{n \sqrt{n}}\right)$ | 7.69 | 0.59 | $5.29 \cdot 10^{-21}$ |
| $\mathcal{D}_{P}$ | .01 | $\Theta\left(\frac{2.078^{n}}{n \sqrt{n}}\right)$ | 0.80 | $6.44 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $1.22 \cdot 10^{-40}$ |

Note: Asymptotic equivalents are upper bound
Exact proportion of designable structures could be even lower...
https://gitlab.com/htyao/countingdesign/

- Proportion of designable structures decreases exponentially
$\rightarrow$ Library-based approaches for design (Bellaousovet al, RNA 2018)
$\rightarrow$ Revisit neutral networks theory
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## Conclusions/perspectives

## https://gitlab.com/htyao/countingdesign/

- Better upper bounds for popular ensemble defect
$\rightarrow$ Bivariate generating functions
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## Backup slides

## Defect and RNA negative design

- Defect: $\mathcal{D}: \Sigma^{*} \times \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- Suboptimal Defect $\mathcal{D}_{S}$

$$
\log \mathcal{D}_{S}\left(w, S^{*}\right):=-\min _{\substack{S \in \mathcal{S}_{|w|} \\ S \neq S^{*}}} E(w, S)-E\left(w, S^{*}\right)
$$

- Probability Defect $\mathcal{D}_{P}$

$$
\mathcal{D}_{P}\left(w, S^{*}\right):=\sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{S}_{|w|} \\ S \neq S^{*}}} \mathbb{P}(S \mid w)=1-\mathbb{P}\left(S^{*} \mid w\right)
$$
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\log \mathcal{D}_{S}\left(w, S^{*}\right):=-\min _{\substack{S \in \mathcal{S}_{|w|} \\ S \neq S^{*}}} E(w, S)-E\left(w, S^{*}\right)
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- Probability Defect $\mathcal{D}_{P}$

$$
\mathcal{D}_{P}\left(w, S^{*}\right):=\sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{S}_{|w|} \\ S \neq S^{*}}} \mathbb{P}(S \mid w)=1-\mathbb{P}\left(S^{*} \mid w\right)
$$

- Given $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and a defect $\mathcal{D}$, a sequence $w$ is a (negative) ( $\mathcal{D}, \varepsilon$ )-design for a structure $S^{*}$ if and only if

$$
\operatorname{MFE}(w)=\left\{S^{*}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{D}\left(w, S^{*}\right) \leq \varepsilon
$$
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\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\overline{M^{\prime}}:=\left\{m^{\prime} \mid \forall m \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}, m=\left(m^{\prime}\right)\right\}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(z) & =z^{2} T(z) S(z)+z S(z)+1 \\
T(z) & =S(z)-\overline{M^{\prime}}(z, T)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\overline{M^{\prime}}(z, T)=\sum_{m^{\prime} \in \overline{\mathcal{M}^{\prime}}} z^{\gamma\left(m^{\prime}\right)} T^{\delta\left(m^{\prime}\right)}-c(z, T)
$$

