# Transcript analysis using RNA-seq

### What others call transcriptomics?!

Sven Findeiß

Bioinformatics Group, Department of Computer Science; and Interdisciplinary Center for Bioinformatics, University of Leipzig

Bled, February 2010

"Transcriptomics is a powerful tool for understanding gene structures and RNA-based regulation in any organism."

"Transcriptomics is a powerful tool for understanding gene structures and RNA-based regulation in any organism."

## What it might be:

"Transcriptomics is a powerful tool for understanding gene structures and RNA-based regulation in any organism."

# What it might be:

### Wang:2009

"The transcriptome is the complete set of transcripts in a cell, and their quantity, for a specific developmental stage or physiological condition."

"Transcriptomics is a powerful tool for understanding gene structures and RNA-based regulation in any organism."

# What it might be:

### Wang:2009

"The transcriptome is the complete set of transcripts in a cell, and their quantity, for a specific developmental stage or physiological condition."

#### Stadler and Prohaska in preparation

"In cellular and molecular biology, the suffix *-ome* refers to 'all constituents considered' collectively."

"Transcriptomics is a powerful tool for understanding gene structures and RNA-based regulation in any organism."

# What it might be:

### Wang:2009

"The transcriptome is the complete set of transcripts in a cell, and their quantity, for a specific developmental stage or physiological condition."

#### Stadler and Prohaska in preparation

"In cellular and molecular biology, the suffix *-ome* refers to 'all constituents considered' collectively."

### Lederberg:2001

"...-OM signifies fullness, completeness as in divinity  $\cdots$ , it encompasses the entire universe in its unlimitedness."

# **Transcriptomics in Prokaryotes**

Whole transcriptome studies have been started only recently.

- microbial gene structure was regraded as simple
  - $\rightarrow$  no introns  $\curvearrowright$  no splicing
  - $\rightarrow$  no editing
- · technical difficulties e.g. for the mRNA enrichment
  - $\rightarrow$  lack poly(A) tails
  - $\rightarrow > 95\%$  of cellular RNA is composed of rRNA and tRNA

# **Transcriptomics in Prokaryotes**

Whole transcriptome studies have been started only recently.

- · microbial gene structure was regraded as simple
  - $\rightarrow$  no introns  $\frown$  no splicing
  - $\rightarrow$  no editing
- technical difficulties e.g. for the mRNA enrichment
  - $\rightarrow$  lack poly(A) tails
  - $\rightarrow > 95\%$  of cellular RNA is composed of rRNA and tRNA

### Gained knowledge:

- $\Rightarrow$  5'UTR annotation
- $\Rightarrow$  novel untranslated regulatory elements
- $\Rightarrow$  alternative operon structures
- $\Rightarrow$  discovery of novel ncRNAs











5) PicoTiterPlate Fixation





Image for step 1 is taken from [Sorek and Cossart, 2010] and images for step 2 to 6 are from [Marcel Margulies et al., 2005]













5) PicoTiterPlate Fixation





Image for step 1 is taken from [Sorek and Cossart, 2010] and images for step 2 to 6 are from [Marcel Margulies et al., 2005]



2) Fragment Preparation

3) One Bead = One Fragment



4) Amplification

Image for step 1 is taken from [Sorek and Cossart, 2010] and images for step 2 to 6 are from [Marcel Margulies et al., 2005]



- · library is enriched for primary transcripts
- 5'end of the transcripts are enriched over "normal" RNA



- · library is enriched for primary transcripts
- 5'end of the transcripts are enriched over "normal" RNA



- · library is enriched for primary transcripts
- 5'end of the transcripts are enriched over "normal" RNA

### manual inspection

[Sharma et al., 2010]; [Albrecht et al., 2009]; [Jäger et al., 2009] Take the IGB or UCSC and go through the whole genome, basically click by click  $\rightarrow$  base by base, and annotate start sites.



- · library is enriched for primary transcripts
- 5'end of the transcripts are enriched over "normal" RNA

### manual inspection

[Sharma et al., 2010]; [Albrecht et al., 2009]; [Jäger et al., 2009] Take the IGB or UCSC and go through the whole genome, basically click by click  $\rightarrow$  base by base, and annotate start sites.



- · library is enriched for primary transcripts
- 5'end of the transcripts are enriched over "normal" RNA

### 

### manual inspection

[Sharma et al., 2010]; [Albrecht et al., 2009]; [Jäger et al., 2009] Take the IGB or UCSC and go through the whole genome, basically click by click  $\rightarrow$  base by base, and annotate start sites.

- + you get in touch with the data
- biased towards annotated genes
- it is not reproducible
- takes a lot of time

- · library is enriched for primary transcripts
- 5'end of the transcripts are enriched over "normal" RNA



### manual inspection

[Sharma et al., 2010]; [Albrecht et al., 2009]; [Jäger et al., 2009] Take the IGB or UCSC and go through the whole genome, basically click by click  $\rightarrow$  base by base, and annotate start sites.

- + you get in touch with the data
- biased towards annotated genes
- it is not reproducible
- takes a lot of time

### automated methods:

[Wurtzel et al., 2009]; Own Methods

Establish a method that searches for start sites. Inspect some of the annotated start sites and maybe refine the method.

- · library is enriched for primary transcripts
- 5'end of the transcripts are enriched over "normal" RNA

# 

### manual inspection

[Sharma et al., 2010]; [Albrecht et al., 2009]; [Jäger et al., 2009] Take the IGB or UCSC and go through the whole genome, basically click by click  $\rightarrow$  base by base, and annotate start sites.

- + you get in touch with the data
- biased towards annotated genes
- it is not reproducible
- takes a lot of time

### automated methods:

#### [Wurtzel et al., 2009]; Own Methods

Establish a method that searches for start sites. Inspect some of the annotated start sites and maybe refine the method.

- you do not get in touch with the complete data
- + unbiased if no annotation is used
- + it is easy to refine and reproducible
- + once the method is established it takes seconds to annotate start sites
- you could have TSS annotated that do not fit the idea

# A simple approach



# A simple approach



within a small window some reads should start



within a small window some reads should start



- within a small window some reads should start
- some := at least three reads



### within a small window some reads should start

some := at least three reads



- within a small window some reads should start
- some := at least three reads
- **3** reads have to end on different positions



- within a small window some reads should start
- some := at least three reads
- **3** reads have to end on different positions



- within a small window some reads should start
- some := at least three reads
- **3** reads have to end on different positions



- within a small window some reads should start
- osme := at least three reads
- **3** reads have to end on different positions
- #reads in a treated library > #reads in an untreated library



- 1 within a small window some reads should start
- osme := at least three reads
- **3** reads have to end on different positions
- #reads in a treated library > #reads in an untreated library

- · library is enriched for primary transcripts
- 5'end of the transcripts are enriched over "normal" RNA



### manual inspection

[Sharma et al., 2010]; [Albrecht et al., 2009]; [Jäger et al., 2009] Take the IGB or UCSC and go through the whole genome, basically click by click  $\rightarrow$  base by base, and annotate start sites.

- + you get in touch with the data
- biased towards annotated genes
- it is not reproducible
- takes a lot of time

### automated methods:

[Wurtzel et al., 2009]; Own Methods

Establish a method that searches for start sites. Inspect some of the annotated start sites and maybe refine the method.

- · library is enriched for primary transcripts
- 5'end of the transcripts are enriched over "normal" RNA

# 

### manual inspection

[Sharma et al., 2010]; [Albrecht et al., 2009]; [Jäger et al., 2009] Take the IGB or UCSC and go through the whole genome, basically click by click  $\rightarrow$  base by base, and annotate start sites.

- + you get in touch with the data
- biased towards annotated genes
- it is not reproducible
- takes a lot of time

### automated methods:

#### [Wurtzel et al., 2009]; Own Methods

Establish a method that searches for start sites. Inspect some of the annotated start sites and maybe refine the method.

- you do not get in touch with the complete data
- + unbiased if no annotation is used
- + it is easy to refine and reproducible
- + once the method is established it takes seconds to annotate start sites
- you could have TSS annotated that do not fit the idea



Publication	# Library	Enriched/Normal Total	Core Promoter	5' UTR
Own data	1	45,419/56,257 101,676	n)	
[Albrecht et al., 2009]	2	?/? 249,432		
[Sharma et al., 2010]	5	1,435,974/1,384,949 2,820,923	2 5 1 9 SAREsuses TATALANCE TO THE OWNER OF THE OWNER	



Deep sequencing-based discovery of the Chlamydia trachomatis transcriptome. Nucleic Acids Res, 2009



### Dominik Jäger et al.

Deep sequencing analysis of the Methanosarcina mazei Gö1 transcriptome in response to nitrogen availability. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2009

### Omri Wurtzel et al.

A single-base resolution map of an archaeal transcriptome.

Genome Res, 2009

# Thanks to...



All the Bleden