EXTRACTING SENSE FROM STRUCTURE: AN APPLICATION TO FUNCTIONAL NON-CODING RNA POLYMERS

F. Costa



Bioinformatics Group Department of Computer Science Albert-Ludwigs-University Freiburg, Germany

13-17 February 2012

WHAT IS THIS TALK ABOUT?

Visualization of folding hypothesis landscape for a ncRNA family. Semi-automatic construction of a vocabulary for structures.

- Allows finer grain view than clustering
- Useful to get an idea on the plasticity of a ncRNA family

Map of the talk

- Introduction: Clustering induces an implicit prototype (cluster center) with which to measure the typicality of its members. Consensus structures allow to visualize the average agreement on different parts.
- Question: Can we decompose the character of a RNA family into meaningful traits?
- Answer: Represent the principal directions of change identify the parts characteristic for different directions.



- The identification of a single folding structure to characterize a functional ncRNA family is a difficult and ill-posed problem
- Idea: characterize the entire set of probable structures

ISSUES

How to best represent:

- multiple sub-groups
- continuous structural variation





MDS for all folding configurations for a single sequence¹.



¹Source: Ding, Y. & Lawrence, C. E., *A statistical sampling algorithm for RNA secondary structure prediction*, Nucl. Acids Res. 2003

Issues with RNA structural representations

- The MFE yields a single folding hypothesis that can be (at times) non representative
- Partition function based dot plots represents only <u>statistics</u> on all folding structures
- Accessibility information <u>marginalizes</u> base-pairedness in an aggregate with loss of structural information
- Suboptimal sampling is expensive and requires an additional (heuristic) clustering step

PROPOSAL

- Use shape approach to derive a set of representative folding structures
- Represent each structure fully (i.e. as a labeled graph)
- Process set with graph kernels or explicit subgraph fingerprint techniques



SAMPLING REPRESENTATIVE STRUCTURES

- Sample all folding hypothesis
- ...which exhibit significantly different structure
- …and are in a small energy range above the minimum free energy → representative structures: shapes^a

^aGiegerich, B, Voß and M. Rehmsmeier, Abstract shapes of RNA, NAR 2004





Representing RNA structure as graphs

Neighborhood Subgraph Pairwise Distance Kernel (NSPDK)^a Features as all pairs of <u>near small</u> <u>neighborhood</u> subgraphs \approx a generalization of *k*-mers with gaps

^aF. Costa, K. De Grave, *Fast Neighborhood Subgraph Pairwise Distance Kernel.* ICML 2010



FROM LINEAR MODEL TO IMPORTANCE SIGNAL

Given a binary classification task, induce linear models:

- performance: good generalization guarantees
- fast and scalable: linear in practice; can manage $> 10^5$ instances
- interpretable: model → set of feature-weight pairs

Interpret the weight as importance score for each feature

FROM IMPORTANCE SIGNAL TO IMPORTANT PARTS

- Compute the importance for each vertex v_i = cumulative importance of all subgraphs that involve v_i
- Visualize regions with high vertex importance...























$\ensuremath{\mathbf{Figure:}}$ Cumulative vertex importance







FIGURE: Consensus RF00029 Intron gpll (Ribozyme)



APPLICATIONS OF IMPORTANCE SIGNAL (ONGOING WORK)

- Important parts (=connected components with importance > threshold) are <u>structural motifs</u> that can be clustered for characterization and insights
- Importance score can complement energetic score in <u>folding</u> algorithms
- Important parts can be constrained to match in alignment procedures even when dissimilar at sequence level



Using NSPDK we can represent graphs in a very high dimensional vector space.

But how to map graphs onto a plane for visual inspection?

DIMENSIONALITY REDUCTION TECHNIQUES

- Multi Dimensional Scaling (MDS) Determin 2D coordinates so to maximally preserve the pairwise distances that instances originally had.
 - - Non/trivial identification of directions of change
 - $\bullet\,$ Non-convex optimization problem \rightarrow locally optima
 - + Non-linear embedding
- Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) or equivalently Principal Component Analysis (PCA) Rank ortogonal directions that induce the best reconstruction of the original vectors.
 - + Trivial identification of directions of change
 - $\bullet~+$ Convex optimization problem \rightarrow global optimal solution
 - Linear embedding



MDS for face image set^2 .

Along the red line the expression moves from sad to happy.

²Source: T.Hastie, R. Tibshirani, J. Friedman, The Elements of Statistical Learning. 09

NH



SVD for digit image set³.

 $\underline{X \mapsto \text{length of lower trait; } Y \mapsto \text{thickness.}}$



LUN I

Given a set of RNA sequences belonging to a functional class:

• materialize m folding hypothesis for each sequence



FIGURE: Color-code: G-C=blu-cyan A-U=red-orange



Given a set of RNA sequences belonging to a functional class:

- \bigcirc materialize *m* folding hypothesis for each sequence
- **2** structural graph \mapsto vector representation



Given a set of RNA sequences belonging to a functional class:

- \bigcirc materialize *m* folding hypothesis for each sequence
- **2** structural graph \mapsto vector representation
- $\textcircled{O} SVD \mapsto compute \ 2 \ main \ components \ and \ embed$



Given a set of RNA sequences belonging to a functional class:

- materialize *m* folding hypothesis for each sequence
- **2** structural graph \mapsto vector representation
- $\textcircled{O} SVD \mapsto \text{compute 2 main components and embed}$
- induce discriminative model on binary classification task: instances in half space vs. instances in the other half





Given a set of RNA sequences belonging to a functional class:

- materialize *m* folding hypothesis for each sequence
- @ structural graph \mapsto vector representation
- $\textcircled{O} SVD \mapsto \text{compute 2 main components and embed}$
- induce discriminative model on binary classification task: *instances in half space vs. instances in the other half*
- **(**) partition instances into $k \times k$ tiles in 2D plane
- Plot 1: plot only one representative shape per tile (choose highest frequency shape)
- Plot 2: plot importance signal on each vertex
- Plot 3: plot consensus structures

BURG



FIGURE: Artificial example: sequences with pattern $[U]^m GGGCCC[A]^n$













FIGURE: Artificial example: the part in common to all sequences that cannot be used to discriminate is white.





FIGURE: RF00005: tRNA





















FIGURE: The poles represent GC vs AU content. Loop parts are white \mapsto more interesting.







FIGURE: RF00013: 6S



F. Costa Extracting Sense from Structure















FIGURE: The presence of a (until recently unknown) functional hairpin is white \mapsto important, and present in many consensus alignments.







FIGURE: RF00012: U3















F. COSTA EXTRACTING SENSE FROM STRUCTURE



 $\ensuremath{\mathbf{Figure:}}$ The opposite poles represent the variant vertebrate vs. non-vertebrate, characterized by one stem vs. two stems.



CONCLUSIONS

- We propose to:
 - visualize a set of folding structures
 - embed them in a plane...
 - ...whose coordinate system is aligned to the directions of major sequence-structural changes
- automatically learn how to discriminate between extreme cases
- ... to identify common regions

How can all this be used?

- Give biologists a new way to look into a ncRNA family
- Help them identify in a semi-automatic way interesting parts or sub-families
- Help them characterize and give a name to the structural (and possibly functional) traits
- Give a way to use biological knowledge to select a subset of meaningful sequences-structures to make better models

Thanks

Acknowledgments: Steffen Heyne Sita Lange Robert Kleinkauf Manja Marz Rolf Backofen

















FIGURE: RF00114: Ribosomal S15 Leader













FIGURE: While one hairpin is common to the whole family (top-left white), the second hairpin (bottom green) seems to represent only one of the extreme cases.







FIGURE: RF00059: TPP Ryboswitch











Gaining scalability via working in the primal: Computing the explicit mapping ϕ

Given graph as a (multi)set of pairs of near small subgraphs compute the explicit sparse representation via hashing techniques



Complexity dominated by <u>edge sorting</u> or <u>all-pairwise-distance</u> computation in small subgraphs \mapsto efficient (linear) in practice