Exploring Chemistry Using Satisfiability Modulo Theories

Rolf Fagerberg¹, Christoph Flamm², Daniel Merkle¹, Philipp Peters¹

Dept. of Mathematics and Computer Science University of Southern Denmark

Institute for Theoretical Chemistry, University of Vienna, Austria

February 16, 2012



Submitted to Computer Aided Verification 2012

Content

- Exploring Chemistry
- Declarative Approach with Satisfiability Modulo Theories
- Results and Discussion

Model and Method

Results and Discussion

Exploring Chemistry

Example: Retrosynthetic Analysis

Example: Retrosynthetic Analysis

Given: The (properties of a) target molecule

Find: Synthesis mechanism to target molecule

Example: Retrosynthetic Analysis

Given: The (properties of a) target molecule

Find: Synthesis mechanism to target molecule

Todo: Simplify molecule in retrosynthetic direction

F

Example: Retrosynthetic Analysis

Given: The (properties of a) target molecule

Find: Synthesis mechanism to target molecule

$$D + E \rightarrow F$$

Example: Retrosynthetic Analysis Given: The (properties of a) target molecule Find: Synthesis mechanism to target molecule

$$\begin{array}{rrrr} B+C & \to & D \\ D+E & \to & F \end{array}$$

Example: Retrosynthetic Analysis

Given: The (properties of a) target molecule

Find: Synthesis mechanism to target molecule

$$\begin{array}{rrrr} A & \to & B \\ B+C & \to & D \\ D+E & \to & F \end{array}$$

Results and Discussion

Exploring Chemistry

Example: Inverse Reaction Mechanism Problem

Results and Discussion

Exploring Chemistry

Example: Inverse Reaction Mechanism Problem

Given: Reaction mechanism and chemical reactions

Find: Compatible molecules according to this mechanism

Todo: Assign chemical reactions to mechanism

Results and Discussion

Exploring Chemistry

Example: Inverse Reaction Mechanism Problem

Given: Reaction mechanism and chemical reactions

Find: Compatible molecules according to this mechanism

Todo: Assign chemical reactions to mechanism

r_1 :	$C_5H_{11}O_8P \rightarrow C_5H_9O_8P$	Δ	ζ.	R
r_2 :	$C_3H_7O_6P \rightarrow C_3H_7O_6P$	Л	\neg	D
r_3 :	$C_3H_7O_6P + C_3H_7O_6P \to C_6H_{14}O_{12}P_2$	$B \perp C$	\rightarrow	D
r_4 :	$C_5H_{11}O_8P + C_5H_9O_8P \to C_7H_{15}O_{10}P + C_3H_7O_6P$	D + C		\mathcal{D}
		D + E	\rightarrow	F
r_n :	$H_2O + C_6H_{14}O_{12}P_2 \rightarrow C_6H_{13}O_9P + H_3PO_4$,	-

Results and Discussion

Exploring Chemistry

Example: Inverse Reaction Mechanism Problem

Given: Reaction mechanism and chemical reactions

Find: Compatible molecules according to this mechanism

Todo: Assign chemical reactions to mechanism

$r_1:$ $C_5H_{11}O_8P \rightarrow C_5H_9O_8P$	A	\rightarrow	R
$r_2: \qquad C_3H_7O_6P \rightarrow C_3H_7O_6P$ $r_3: C_3H_7O_6P + C_3H_7O_6P \rightarrow C_6H_{14}O_{12}P_2$			<i>Р</i> Б
$r_4: C_5H_{11}O_8P + C_5H_9O_8P \rightarrow C_7H_{15}O_{10}P + C_3H_7O_6P$	B+C	\rightarrow	D
$r_n: H_2O + C_6H_{14}O_{12}P_2 \to C_6H_{13}O_9P + H_3PO_4$	D + E	\rightarrow	F

 $\begin{array}{c} C_5H_{11}O_8P \to C_5H_9O_8P \\ C_5H_9O_8P + CH_4O_4P \to C_6H_{14}O_1P_2 \\ C_6H_{14}O_{12}P_2 + H_2O \to C_6H_{13}O_9P \end{array}$

Reaction Mechanism

Hypergraph:





Results and Discussion

Chemical Rules

A Rule

Change of reactive sites (functional groups) of molecules in an elementary reaction

Results and Discussion

Our Modelling of Molecules



Results and Discussion

Our Modelling of Molecules



Vector of functional groups, disregards spatial information

Results and Discussion

Our Modelling of Molecules



Vector of functional groups, disregards spatial information

Our Modelling of Chemical Rules

We define a rule as a change and a precondition vector





Our Modelling of Chemical Rules

We define a rule as a change and a precondition vector



rule 1: precond(pyr) = (1,0,0,0,0) change(pyr) = (0,0,1,1,0)



Our Modelling of Chemical Rules

We define a rule as a change and a precondition vector



 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{rule 1:} & \mbox{precond}(\mbox{pyr}) = (1,0,0,0,0) & \mbox{change}(\mbox{pyr}) = (0,0,1,1,0) \\ \mbox{rule 2:} & \mbox{precond}(\mbox{oxa}) = (1,0,1,1,0) & \mbox{change}(\mbox{oxa}) = (-1,0,0,-1,1) \\ \end{array}$



Model and Method

Results and Discussion

Our Modelling of Molecules













Satisfiability Problem to find compatible assignment of rules Powerful solvers exist for such problems



Satisfiability Problem to find compatible assignment of rules Powerful solvers exist for such problems

 $\mathsf{Definitions} + \mathsf{Constraints} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{magic}} \mathsf{Solution}$



Satisfiability Problem to find compatible assignment of rules Powerful solvers exist for such problems

 $\mathsf{Definitions} + \mathsf{Constraints} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{SMT}-\mathbf{Solver}} \mathsf{Solution}$

Satisfiability Modulo Theories (SMT)

Logical Constraints:	Solution:
$x,y\in\mathbb{N}$	x = ?
$0 \le x \le 4$	y = ?
x + y = 2	f(x) = ?
$\forall x, y : f(x) < f(y)$	f(y) = ?

SMT formulas provide a rich and powerful modelling language!

SMT

Identity of molecules:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall v, w \in Mol : (v, w) \in ID \\ \Rightarrow \forall x_p \in \textit{functional groups} : v(x_p) = w(x_p) \end{aligned}$$

Identity of molecules:

 $\forall v, w \in Mol : (v, w) \in ID$ $\Rightarrow \forall x_p \in functional groups : v(x_p) = w(x_p)$

Equivalence relation:

(declare-fun ID (MOL MOL) Bool)

Identity of molecules:

 $\forall v, w \in Mol : (v, w) \in ID$ $\Rightarrow \forall x_p \in functional groups : v(x_p) = w(x_p)$

Equivalence relation:

(declare-fun ID (MOL MOL) Bool) (assert (forall (mol MOL) (= (ID mol mol) T))) ∧

Identity of molecules:

 $\forall v, w \in Mol : (v, w) \in ID$ $\Rightarrow \forall x_p \in functional groups : v(x_p) = w(x_p)$

Equivalence relation:

(declare-fun ID (MOL MOL) Bool) (assert (forall (mol MOL) (= (ID mol mol) T))) (forall (mol,mol2 MOL) (=(ID mol mol2)(ID mol2 mol)))

Identity of molecules:

 $\forall v, w \in Mol : (v, w) \in ID$ $\Rightarrow \forall x_p \in functional groups : v(x_p) = w(x_p)$

Equivalence relation:

(declare-fun ID (MOL MOL) Bool) (assert (forall (mol MOL) (= (ID mol mol) T))) \land (forall (mol,mol2 MOL) (=(ID mol mol2)(ID mol2 mol))) \land (forall (mol,mol2,mol3 MOL) (\Rightarrow (and (=(ID mol mol2) T) (=(ID mol2 mol3) T)) (=(ID mol mol3) T)))

Identity of molecules:

 $\forall v, w \in Mol : (v, w) \in ID$ $\Rightarrow \forall x_p \in functional groups : v(x_p) = w(x_p)$

Equivalence relation:

(declare-fun ID (MOL MOL) Bool) (assert (forall (mol MOL) (= (ID mol mol) T))) \land (forall (mol,mol2 MOL) (=(ID mol mol2)(ID mol2 mol))) \land (forall (mol,mol2,mol3 MOL) (\Rightarrow (and (=(ID mol mol2) T) (=(ID mol2 mol3) T)) (=(ID mol mol3) T)))

Solver searches for values and assignments to functions

Our Most General Setup

$m_1 \times$	A + B	\rightarrow	C + D
$m_2 \times$	E + F	\rightarrow	G + H
$m_3 \times$	I + J	\rightarrow	K + L

Our Most General Setup

$m_1 \times$	A + B	\rightarrow	C + D
$m_2 \times$	C + D	\rightarrow	G
$m_3 imes$	A	\rightarrow	K + B

$id(C,E), id(D,F), id(A,I), id(B,L), H = \emptyset, J = \emptyset$

Solver assigns equivalence classes

Our Most General Setup

$2 \times$	A + B	\rightarrow	C + D
$2 \times$	C + D	\rightarrow	G
$1 \times$	A	\rightarrow	K + B

$$\begin{split} &id(C,E), id(D,F), id(A,I), id(B,L), H=\emptyset, J=\emptyset\\ &m_1=2, m_2=2, m_3=1 \end{split}$$

Solver assigns equivalence classes Solver assigns multiplicities of reactions

Results and Discussion

Our Most General Setup

$2 \times$	A + B	\rightarrow	C + D
$2 \times$	C + D	\rightarrow	G
$1 \times$	A	\rightarrow	K + B

\sum : **3** · **A** + **B** \rightarrow **2** · **G** + **K**

$$\begin{split} &id(C,E), id(D,F), id(A,I), id(B,L), H=\emptyset, J=\emptyset\\ &m_1=2, m_2=2, m_3=1 \end{split}$$

Solver assigns equivalence classes Solver assigns multiplicities of reactions Solver assigns overall reaction

Results and Discussion

Our Most General Setup

$2 \times$	A + B	\rightarrow	C + D
$2 \times$	C + D	\rightarrow	G
$1 \times$	A	\rightarrow	K + B

\sum : **3** · **A** + **B** \rightarrow **2** · **G** + **K**

$$\begin{split} &id(C,E), id(D,F), id(A,I), id(B,L), H=\emptyset, J=\emptyset\\ &m_1=2, m_2=2, m_3=1 \end{split}$$

Solver assigns equivalence classes Solver assigns multiplicities of reactions Solver assigns overall reaction Solver assigns molecule vectors

Results and Discussion

Our Most General Setup

$2 \times$	A + B	\rightarrow	C + D
$2 \times$	C + D	\rightarrow	G
$1 \times$	A	\rightarrow	K + B

\sum : **3** · **A** + **B** \rightarrow **2** · **G** + **K**

$$\begin{split} &id(C,E), id(D,F), id(A,I), id(B,L), H=\emptyset, J=\emptyset\\ &m_1=2, m_2=2, m_3=1 \end{split}$$

Solver assigns equivalence classes Solver assigns multiplicities of reactions Solver assigns overall reaction Solver assigns molecule vectors Also allow user to specify constraints

An SMT Solution

Provides:

Rule Mapping $A + B \xrightarrow{rule1} C + D$ Equivalence classes: identical molecules id(C, E)Multiplicities $m_1 = 2$ Molecule vectors Pyruvate : (1, 0, 1, 1, 0)

Results

Results and Discussion

Pentose Phosphate Pathway

m_1	A + B	\rightarrow	C + D
m_2	E + F	\rightarrow	G + H
m_3	I + J	\rightarrow	K + L
m_4	M + N	\rightarrow	O + P
m_5	Q + R	\rightarrow	S+T
m_6	U + V	\rightarrow	W + X
m_7	Y + Z	\rightarrow	$\ddot{A} + \ddot{O}$
m_8	$\ddot{U}+E$	\rightarrow	$\mathring{A} + \emptyset$

Starting with general mechanism

Results and Discussion

Pentose Phosphate Pathway

\sum :	6 · A	\rightarrow	5 · Ø
m_8	$\ddot{U} + E$	\rightarrow	$\mathring{A} + \emptyset$
m_7	Y + Z	\rightarrow	$\ddot{A} + \ddot{O}$
m_6	U + V	\rightarrow	W + X
m_5	Q + R	\rightarrow	S+T
m_4	M + N	\rightarrow	O + P
m_3	I + J	\rightarrow	K + L
m_2	E + F	\rightarrow	G + H
m_1	A + B	\rightarrow	C + D

Starting with general mechanism Define overall reaction Using set of 10 rules Molecule as 1 functional group

Results and Discussion

Pentose Phosphate Pathway

$2 \times$	A	\rightarrow	C
$2 \times$	A + C	\rightarrow	D + E
$2 \times$	D + E	\rightarrow	G + H
$2 \times$	A+G	\rightarrow	E + H
$1 \times$	E	\rightarrow	F
$1 \times$	E + F	\rightarrow	Ι
$1 \times$	B+I	\rightarrow	J + K
$1 \times$	J	\rightarrow	H
\sum :	$6 \cdot \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}$	\rightarrow	$5 \cdot H + K$

Starting with general mechanism Define overall reaction Using set of 10 rules Molecule as 1 functional group

Model and Method

Results and Discussion

Pentose Phosphate Pathway

SMT-Solution

Using rules with 6 functional groups

$2 \times$	(1,2,0,1,0,1)	\rightarrow	(0,3,1,0,0,1)
$2 \times$	(1,2,0,1,0,1) + (0,3,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,	$(1) \rightarrow$	(1,4,0,1,0,1) + (0,1,1,0,0,1)
$2 \times$	(1,4,0,1,0,1) + (0,1,1,0,0,0)	,1) $ ightarrow$	(0,2,1,0,0,1) + (1,3,0,1,0,1)
$2 \times$	(1,2,0,1,0,1) + (0,2,1,0,0,0)	,1) $ ightarrow$	(0,1,1,0,0,1) + (1,3,0,1,0,1)
$1 \times$	(0,1,1,0,0,1)	\rightarrow	(1,0,0,1,0,1)
$1 \times$	(0,1,1,0,0,1) + (1,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0	,1) $ ightarrow$	(0,3,0,0,1,2)
$1 \times$	B + (0,3,0,0,1,2)	\rightarrow	(0,3,0,1,1,1) + K
$1 \times$	(0,3,0,1,1,1)	\rightarrow	(1,3,0,1,0,1)

(1,2,0,1,0,1) can refer to Ribulose-5-P (1,3,0,1,0,1) can refer to Fructose-6-P

Postprocessing

SMT-Solution provides:

Synthesis mechanism with underspecified molecules

Postprocessing

SMT-Solution provides:

Synthesis mechanism with underspecified molecules

E.g. KEGG can be used to find all fitting real chemical rules

Postprocessing

SMT-Solution provides:

Synthesis mechanism with underspecified molecules

E.g. KEGG can be used to find all fitting real chemical rules

One reaction mechanism - different synthesis mechanisms!

3-Hydroxypropanoate (3HP) Synthesis Pathway

Goal: Synthesize 3HP from Pyruvate

We followed approach of Henry et al., 2010 We modelled a pathway of n cascading 1-to-1 reactions

$$\begin{array}{cccc} A & \to & B \\ B & \to & C \\ & \vdots \end{array}$$

Using 16 rules and 9 functional groups

Results and Discussion

3HP Synthesis Pathway



Results and Discussion

3HP Synthesis Pathway



Model and Method

Results and Discussion

3HP Synthesis Pathway



Conclusion





Conclusion





Thank you for attention!