39TH TBI WINTERSEMINAR

Something about probing Analysis report of PaRNAssus reactivity data

YAO, Hua-Ting

TBI, University of Vienna, Austria

Bled — Feb 13, 2024



• The free energy $\mathcal{E}(w, S)$ of secondary structure S given sequence w is

$$\mathcal{E}(w,S) = \Delta\left(\checkmark \right) + \Delta\left(\rightleftharpoons \right) + \Delta\left(\rightleftharpoons \right) + \Delta\left(\checkmark \right) + \Delta\left(\checkmark \right) + \cdots$$

Structure probing





• The free energy $\mathcal{E}(w, S)$ of secondary structure S given sequence w is

$$\mathcal{E}(w,S) = \Delta\left(\overset{\texttt{G}}{\Longrightarrow} \right) + \Delta\left(\overset{\texttt{II}}{\Longrightarrow} \right) + \Delta\left(\overset{\texttt{III}}{\Longrightarrow} \right) + \Delta\left(\overset{\texttt{IIII}}{\Longrightarrow} \right) + \cdots$$

Structure probing





• The free energy $\mathcal{E}(w,S)$ of secondary structure S given sequence w is

$$\mathcal{E}(w,S) = \Delta\left(\overset{\texttt{left}}{\Longrightarrow} \right) + \Delta\left(\overset{\texttt{left}}{\Longrightarrow} \right) + \Delta\left(\overset{\texttt{left}}{\Longrightarrow} \right) + \Delta\left(\overset{\texttt{left}}{\Longrightarrow} \right) + \cdots$$

• Reactivity r_i : value in [0, > 1] at position *i*, low: paired, high: unpaired

• Deigan method, pseudo-energy for stacked position *i* (K Deigan *et. al.*, 2009)

 $\Delta G(i) = m \log(r_i + 1) + b$

• Probabilistic model (S Eddy, 2014; F Deng et. al., 2016)

$$\operatorname*{argmax}_{S} \mathbb{P}(S \mid w) \prod_{i} \mathbb{P}(r_i \mid \pi_i)$$

 π_i : structural context of position i

• Deigan method, pseudo-energy for stacked position *i* (K Deigan *et. al.*, 2009)

 $\Delta G(i) = m \log(r_i + 1) + b$

• Probabilistic model (S Eddy, 2014; F Deng et. al., 2016)

$$\operatorname*{argmax}_{S} \mathbb{P}(S \mid w) \prod_{i} \mathbb{P}(r_i \mid \pi_i, w_i)$$

 π_i : structural context of position i

- Nucleotide-dependence?
- Structural dependence?
 - \rightarrow Paired: stacked, helix-end ...
 - ightarrow Unpaired: loop type, non-canonical basepairs . . .

Reactivity-directed Structure Prediction

 Deigan method, pseudo-energy for stacked position i (K Deigan *et. al.*, 2009)

 $\Delta G(i) = m \log(r_i + 1) + b$

• Probabilistic model (S Eddy, 2014; F Deng et. al., 2016)

$$\operatorname*{argmax}_{S} \mathbb{P}(S \mid w) \prod_{i} \mathbb{P}(r_i \mid \pi_i, w_i)$$

- π_i : structural context of position i
- Nucleotide-dependence?
- Structural dependence?
 - \rightarrow Paired: stacked, helix-end . . .
 - ightarrow Unpaired: loop type, non-canonical basepairs . . .



- Joint project with B. Sargueil and Y. Ponty (France)
- 36 non-coding RNAs from PDB
 - \rightarrow A: 26%, C: 23%, G: 29%, U: 22%
 - \rightarrow Paired: 55%, Unpaired: 45%

- Joint project with B. Sargueil and Y. Ponty (France)
- 36 non-coding RNAs from PDB
 - \rightarrow A: 26%, C: 23%, G: 29%, U: 22%
 - \rightarrow Paired: 55%, Unpaired: 45%
- Probing with DMS and 1M7
- $30^{\circ}C$ with Mg²⁺
- 3 replicates



- Joint project with B. Sargueil and Y. Ponty (France)
- 36 non-coding RNAs from PDB
 - \rightarrow A: 26%, C: 23%, G: 29%, U: 22%
 - \rightarrow Paired: 55%, Unpaired: 45%
- Probing with DMS and 1M7
- $30^{\circ}C$ with Mg²⁺
- 3 replicates



- Joint project with B. Sargueil and Y. Ponty (France)
- 36 non-coding RNAs from PDB
 - \rightarrow A: 26%, C: 23%, G: 29%, U: 22%
 - \rightarrow Paired: 55%, Unpaired: 45%
- Probing with DMS and 1M7
- $30^{\circ}C$ with Mg²⁺
- 3 replicates





• A and U are more flexible



- A and U are more flexible
- AU basepair is less flexbile

- RNAprob dataset (Deng et. al., 2016): 19 RNAs (< 600 nts) + 4 rRNAs (> 1 000 nts)
- Probabilistic model (Prob)

$$\operatorname*{argmax}_{S} \mathbb{P}(S \mid w) \prod_{i} \mathbb{P}(r_i \mid \pi_i \in \{\texttt{Paired}, \texttt{Unpaired}\})$$

- RNAprob dataset (Deng et. al., 2016): 19 RNAs (< 600 nts) + 4 rRNAs (> 1 000 nts)
- Probabilistic model (Prob), AU/CG

$$\operatorname*{argmax}_{S} \mathbb{P}(S \mid w) \prod_{i} \mathbb{P}(r_i \mid \pi_i, w_i \in \{\mathsf{AU}, \mathsf{CG}\})$$

- RNAprob dataset (Deng et. al., 2016): 19 RNAs (< 600 nts) + 4 rRNAs (> 1 000 nts)
- Probabilistic model (Prob), AU/CG, Paired U

$$\operatorname*{argmax}_{S} \mathbb{P}(S \mid w) \mathbb{P}(r_i \mid \pi_i = \mathsf{Paired} , w_i = \mathsf{U}, w_j \in \{\mathsf{A},\mathsf{G}\}) \prod_i \mathbb{P}(r_i \mid \pi_i)$$

- RNAprob dataset (Deng et. al., 2016): 19 RNAs (< 600 nts) + 4 rRNAs (> 1 000 nts)
- Probabilistic model (Prob), AU/CG, Paired U

$$\operatorname*{argmax}_{S} \mathbb{P}(S \mid w) \mathbb{P}(r_i \mid \pi_i = \mathsf{Paired} \ , w_i = \mathsf{U}, w_j \in \{\mathsf{A},\mathsf{G}\}) \prod_i \mathbb{P}(r_i \mid \pi_i)$$



- RNAprob dataset (Deng et. al., 2016): 19 RNAs (< 600 nts) + 4 rRNAs (> 1 000 nts)
- Probabilistic model (Prob), AU/CG, Paired U

$$\operatorname*{argmax}_{S} \mathbb{P}(S \mid w) \mathbb{P}(r_i \mid \pi_i = \mathsf{Paired} \ , w_i = \mathsf{U}, w_j \in \{\mathsf{A},\mathsf{G}\}) \prod_i \mathbb{P}(r_i \mid \pi_i)$$





DMS: Measure A and C pairedness 1M7: Measure nucleotide flexibility

Incorporating DMS and 1M7 reactivity data



DMS: Measure A and C pairedness 1M7: Measure nucleotide flexibility

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{low / low } \rightarrow \text{paired} \\ \text{high / high} \rightarrow \text{unpaired} \end{array}$



Incorporating DMS and 1M7 reactivity data



DMS: Measure A and C pairedness 1M7: Measure nucleotide flexibility

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{low} \ / \ \mbox{low} \ \rightarrow \ \mbox{paired} \\ \mbox{high} \ / \ \mbox{high} \ \rightarrow \ \mbox{unpaired} \\ \mbox{high} \ / \ \mbox{low} \ \ \rightarrow \ \mbox{constrained} \\ \end{array}$



Incorporating DMS and 1M7 reactivity data



DMS: Measure A and C pairedness 1M7: Measure nucleotide flexibility

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{low / low } \rightarrow \mbox{paired} \\ \mbox{high / high } \rightarrow \mbox{unpaired} \\ \mbox{high / low } \rightarrow \mbox{constrained} \\ \mbox{low / high } \rightarrow \mbox{???} \end{array}$



Temperature dependence on nested base pairs

- Temperature increases from $30^{\circ}C$ to $55^{\circ}C$
- Compare paired probability and reactivity of each position
 - \rightarrow reference structure as hard constraint



Temperature dependence on nested base pairs

- Temperature increases from $30^{\circ}C$ to $55^{\circ}C$
- Compare paired probability and reactivity of each position
 - \rightarrow reference structure as hard constraint



Perspective



• Structural dependence on unpaired region, such as loop type, 3D motif etc.

Perspective



• Structural dependence on unpaired region, such as loop type, 3D motif etc.

- Compare with reactivity data w/o Mg²⁺ to identify pseudoknot
- Machine learning to explore hidden features

