Compilation and verification of nucleic acid reaction networks

Stefan Badelt¹, Seung Woo Shin¹, Robert Johnson¹, Qing Dong², Chris Thachuk¹ and Erik Winfree¹ 1: California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA, 2: Stony Brook University, New York, USA

The big picture:

Toehold-mediated strand displacement serves as a molecular architecture to implement algorithmic behavior with DNA interaction networks. Input DNA triggers a series of conformational changes in present DNA complexes, eventually releasing previously caged output DNA. Our compiler **Nuskell** [1] automates the translation of formal chemical reaction networks (CRNs) into domain-level strand displacement (DSD) circuits. A notion of correctness is established on a case-by-case basis using the rateindependent, stochastic-level theories of pathway decomposition equivalence [2] and/or CRN bisimulation [3]. Although no notion of the correctness of kinetic behavior is supported yet, the compiler automatically generates simulation code based on a sequence-independent model of DNA strand displacement biophysics [4]. Future versions will include sequencelevel design and sequence-level analysis of DSD systems [5].



[1] Badelt, S., Shin, S.W., Johnson, R.F., Dong, Q., Thachuk, C. and Winfree, E., 2017. A general-purpose CRN-to-DSD compiler with formal verification, optimization, and simulation capabilities. International Conference on DNA-Based Computers [2] Shin, S.W., Thachuk, C. and Winfree, E., 2017. Verifying chemical reaction network implementations: A pathway decomposition approach. Theoretical Computer Science. [3] Johnson, R., Dong, Q. and Winfree, E., 2018. Verifying chemical reaction network implementations: a bisimulation approach. Theoretical Computer Science. [4] Grun, C., Sarma, K., Wolfe, B., Shin, S.W. and Winfree, E., 2015. A domain-level DNA strand displacement reaction enumerator allowing arbitrary non-pseudoknotted secondary structures. arXiv preprint arXiv:1505.03738. [5] Berleant, J., Berlind, C., Badelt, S., Dannenberg, F., Schaeffer, J. and Winfree, E., 2018. Automated sequence-level analysis of kinetics and thermodynamics for domain-level DNA strand-displacement systems. Journal of the Royal Society Interface

CRN-to-DSD translation schemes:

A translation scheme is an algorithm to translate a formal CRN into a set of *signal* and *fuel* species. Signal species are at low concentrations and they present the information (input/ output) unit. Fuel species are at high (ideally constant) concentrations and they mediate the information transfer by consuming and/or releasing signal species. After compilation, every species in the formal CRN has a corresponding signal species. All signal species must have the same domain-level constitution and structure, and they need to be independent from each other. Translation schemes may be particularly efficient for certain types of formal reactions but inefficient or incorrect for other types, or they can be correct for every possible formal CRN at the cost of being less efficient.

reaction enumeration:

On the domain-level, we have to consider a diverse set of reactions in order to compensate for the fine-grained details that can happen on the sequence level. Nuskell uses a domain-level reaction enumerator [4] to predict desired and undesired reactions that can emerge from previously compiled signal and fuel species. Enumeration semantics are justified based on the assumption that the DSD system is operated at sufficiently low concentrations, such that unimolecular reactions always go to completion before the next bimolecular interaction takes place. In order to reduce the size of the enumerated reaction network, transient states (e.g. only the toehold bound) cannot engage in further downstream reactions.







http://www.dna.caltech.edu/~badelt

badelt@caltech.edu

prove/disprove CRN equivalence:

The most fundamental requirement towards compilation of large DSD systems is verification. Every translation scheme translates single formal reactions into a multiple implementation reactions. Thus, there are many possibilities to introduce "bugs", i.e. unwanted side reactions that alter the implemented algorithm. We include two case-by-case verification strategies that compare formal CRNs with their implementations.

As intended, our approach does not verify the general correctness of a particular scheme, but supports the notion that particular implementations have to be correct. Two notions are currently implemented in the Nuskell compiler: pathway decomposition [2] and CRN bisimulation [3].







Caltech Biology and Biological Engineering Division Fellowship NSF Grant CCF-1213127

NSF Grant CCF-1317694 ("The Molecular Programming Project")

The Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation's Programmable Molecular Technology Initiative (PMTI)